

Characterizations of symmetric cones by means of the basic relative invariants

Hideto Nakashima

Kyushu University

2015/6/24

RIMS, Kyoto university

Background

$$V = \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\Omega = \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{R})^{++},$$

$$W = V_{\mathbb{C}} (= \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{C}))$$

$\Delta_1(w), \dots, \Delta_r(w)$: the principal minors of $w \in W$

$T_{\Omega} := \Omega + iV$: Tube domain

Classical fact

Put $\Delta_0(w) = 1$. If $w \in T_{\Omega}$, then one has

$$\text{Re} \frac{\Delta_k(w)}{\Delta_{k-1}(w)} > 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

→ This result can be generalize to any irreducible symmetric cone.

(Ishi–Nomura 2008)

Background

V : simple Euclidean Jordan algebra

Ω : irreducible symmetric cone of V

$T_\Omega := \Omega + iV \subset W = V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$\Delta_1(x), \dots, \Delta_r(x)$: the principal minors of V

→ naturally continued to holomorphic polynomial functions of W

Theorem A (Ishi–Nomura 2008)

Put $\Delta_0(w) = 1$. If $w \in T_\Omega$, then one has

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta_k(w)}{\Delta_{k-1}(w)} > 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

Q. Does this property characterize symmetric cones?

A. No (Ishi–Nomura 2008)

Q. How does this property generalize to homogeneous cones?

→ Today's topic

Talking plan

- (1) Background
 - (i) Theorem A
- (2) Generalization of Theorem A
 - (i) Setting and definitions
 - (ii) matrix realization of homogeneous cones
 - (iii) known results
 - (iv) Theorem 1 (generalization of Theorem A)
- (3) Characterization of symmetric cones
 - (i) dual cones
 - (ii) Main theorem (characterization of symmetric cones)
 - (iii) sketch of the proof

Setting

V : finite-dimensional real vector space

Ω : open convex cone in V containing no entire line

$G(\Omega) := \{g \in GL(V); g(\Omega) = \Omega\}$

Ω is homogeneous $\Leftrightarrow G(\Omega)$ acts on Ω transitively

Assume that Ω is homogeneous

$\exists H$: split solvable Lie subgroup of $G(\Omega)$ s.t.

$H \curvearrowright \Omega$: simply transitively.

Example

$\mathcal{S}_N = \text{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})$

$\mathcal{S}_N^+ = \text{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})^{++} = \{x \in V; x \text{ is positive definite}\}$

$g \in GL(N, \mathbb{R})$ acts on \mathcal{S}_N^+ by $g \cdot x := gx^t g$.

\mathcal{H}_N : group of lower triangular matrices with positive diagonals.

$\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_N$ acts on \mathcal{S}_N^+ simply transitively

Matrix realization of homogeneous cones (Ishi 2006)

$N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$: partition of $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$\mathcal{V}_{lk} \subset \text{Mat}(n_l, n_k; \mathbb{R})$: system of vector spaces satisfying

$$(V0) \quad \mathcal{V}_{jj} = \mathbb{R}I_{n_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$(V1) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}, B \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow AB \in \mathcal{V}_{lj} \quad (j < k < l),$$

$$(V2) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{lj}, B \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow A^t B \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \quad (j < k < l),$$

$$(V3) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow A^t A \in \mathcal{V}_{kk} \quad (j < k).$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & {}^t X_{21} & \cdots & {}^t X_{r1} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \ddots & {}^t X_{r2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ X_{r1} & X_{r2} & \cdots & X_{rr} \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} X_{kk} = x_{kk} I_{n_k}, \\ (x_{kk} \in \mathbb{R}) \\ X_{lk} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathcal{S}_N,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{X \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}; X \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a homogeneous cone of rank r .

Any homogeneous cone Ω can be realized as some $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Split solvable Lie subgroup H

H is linearly isomorphic to

$$\left\{ h = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & & & \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ T_{r1} & T_{r2} & \cdots & T_{rr} \end{pmatrix} ; \begin{array}{l} T_{kk} = e^{t_k/2} I_{n_k} \\ (t_k \in \mathbb{R}) \\ T_{lk} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_N.$$

The action on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is described as $h \cdot x = hx^t h$.

Define. f : relatively H -invariant function of Ω

$$\exists \chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \text{1-dim. rep. s.t. } f(h \cdot x) = \chi(h)f(x).$$

$\rightarrow \exists \underline{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ s.t. $\chi(h) = e^{\nu_1 t_1 + \dots + \nu_r t_r}$ (multiplier).

In particular we have

$$f(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_r^{\nu_r}$$

Basic relative invariants

Theorem (Ishi–Nomura 2008)

There exist just r relatively H -invariant irreducible polynomials $\Delta_1(x), \dots, \Delta_r(x)$, and Ω is described as

$$\Omega = \{x \in V; \Delta_1(x) > 0, \dots, \Delta_r(x) > 0\}.$$

→ Δ_j 's are called the basic relative invariants of Ω .

$\underline{\sigma}_j = (\sigma_{j1}, \dots, \sigma_{jr})$: multiplier of $\Delta_j(x)$

$$\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\sigma}_r \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq r}: \text{multiplier matrix}$$

multiplier matrix is lower, the diagonal elements are all 1 (Ishi 2001).

We have an algorithm for calculating σ (N-. 2014).

Complexification

$W := V_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $T_{\Omega} := \Omega + iV$.

$H_{\mathbb{C}}$: complexification of H .

$f_{\mathbb{C}}$: complexification of a relatively H -invariant function f .

relatively $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariance

$$"f_{\mathbb{C}}(\rho(h)w) = \chi(h)f_{\mathbb{C}}(w) \text{ for } \forall h \in H_{\mathbb{C}}, w \in W"$$

$\rightarrow \exists h'$ s.t. $\rho(h)w = \rho(h')w$ for $\forall w \in W$, but $\chi(h) \stackrel{?}{=} \chi(h')$.

If f is rational, then χ is well-defined.

$\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$: naturally continued to holomorphic poly.

$$\mathcal{S} := \{w \in W; \exists \Delta_j(w) = 0\}.$$

Put $N_{\mathbb{C}} := \{h \in H_{\mathbb{C}}; \text{diag} = I_{n_j} (j = 1, \dots, r)\}$.

Then
$$f_{\mathbb{C}}(n \cdot w) = f_{\mathbb{C}}(w),$$
$$f_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_r^{\nu_r}.$$

Known results

Proposition (Ishi-Nomura 2008)

(i) For any $w \in W \setminus \mathcal{S}$, there exist unique $n \in N_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\alpha_j(w) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$) such that

$$w = n \cdot \text{diag}(\alpha_1(w), \dots, \alpha_r(w)).$$

(ii) If $w \in T_{\Omega}$, then one has $\text{Re } \alpha_k(w) > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$.

→ Describe $\alpha_1(w), \dots, \alpha_r(w)$ by using $\Delta_1(w), \dots, \Delta_r(w)$.

For $\underline{\mu}, \underline{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, put

$$\alpha^{\underline{\mu}}(w) := \alpha_1(w)^{\mu_1} \cdots \alpha_r(w)^{\mu_r},$$

$$\Delta^{\underline{\tau}}(w) := \Delta_1(w)^{\tau_1} \cdots \Delta_r(w)^{\tau_r},$$

$$\underline{e}_j := (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{\underset{\sim}{1}}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Generalization of Theorem A

Theorem 1

Let $w \in T_\Omega$. Then one has $\alpha_j(w) = \Delta^{e_j \sigma^{-1}}(w)$, and hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \Delta^{e_j \sigma^{-1}}(w) > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

proof. For each j , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j(w) &= \Delta_j(n \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1(w), \dots, \alpha_r(w))) \\ &= \Delta_j(\operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1(w), \dots, \alpha_r(w))) \\ &= \alpha_1(w)^{\sigma_{j1}} \cdots \alpha_r(w)^{\sigma_{jr}} \\ &= \alpha^{\sigma_j}(w). \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \Delta^{\tau}(w) = (\alpha^{\sigma_1}(w))^{\tau_1} \cdots (\alpha^{\sigma_r}(w))^{\tau_r} = \alpha^{\tau \sigma}(w).$$

$$\text{Thus we have } \alpha_j(w) = \alpha^{e_j}(w) = \Delta^{e_j \sigma^{-1}}(w).$$

Case of symmetric cones

V : Euclidean Jordan algebra

$\Delta_j(x)$: principal minors of V

In this case we have $\underline{\sigma}_j = (1, \dots, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and hence

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus Theorem 1 leads us to the known result:

If $w \in \Omega + iV$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \Delta^{e_j \sigma^{-1}}(w) &= \operatorname{Re} \Delta_{j-1}(w)^{-1} \Delta_j(w) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta_j(w)}{\Delta_{j-1}(w)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Talking plan

- (1) Background
 - (i) Theorem A
- (2) Generalization of Theorem A
 - (i) Setting and definitions
 - (ii) matrix realization of homogeneous cones
 - (iii) known results
 - (iv) Theorem 1 (generalization of Theorem A)
- (3) Characterization of symmetric cones
 - (i) dual cones
 - (ii) Main theorem (characterization of symmetric cones)
 - (iii) sketch of the proof

Dual cone

Ω : homogeneous cone in V

$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$: inner product of V

Dual cone Ω^* of Ω is defined to be

$$\Omega^* := \{x \in V; \langle x | y \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } y \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

$\Delta_1^*(x), \dots, \Delta_r^*(x)$: basic relative invariants of Ω^*

the index is determined as the multiplier matrix σ_*
to be upper triangular

Ω is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2$ implies $\Omega_1 = \{0\}$ or $\Omega_2 = \{0\}$.

Theorem (Yamasaki 2014)

Let Ω be an irreducible homogeneous cone.

Then Ω is symmetric if and only if

$$\{\deg \Delta_1, \dots, \deg \Delta_r\} = \{\deg \Delta_1^*, \dots, \deg \Delta_r^*\} = \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

Example

$$V = \mathcal{S}_3 \\ \Omega = \mathcal{S}_3^+ \text{ and } \Omega^* = \mathcal{S}_3^+ \text{ (symmetric cone)}$$

$$V = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_{21} & x_{31} \\ x_{21} & x_2 & x_{32} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_3 \end{pmatrix}; x_i, x_{kj} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

The basic relative invariants are described as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(x) &= x_1, & \Delta_1^*(x) &= \det x, \\ \Delta_2(x) &= x_1 x_2 - x_{21}^2, & \Delta_2^*(x) &= x_3 x_2 - x_{32}^2, \\ \Delta_3(x) &= \det x, & \Delta_3^*(x) &= x_3. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplier matrices is given as

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Main theorem

Theorem 2

Suppose that Ω is irreducible. Then Ω is symmetric if and only if

- (1) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta_j(w)}{\Delta_{j-1}(w)} > 0$ for any $w \in \Omega + iV$,
- (2) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta_j^*(w^*)}{\Delta_{j+1}^*(w^*)} > 0$ for any $w^* \in \Omega^* + iV$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$),

where we put $\Delta_0(w) = 1$ and $\Delta_{r+1}^*(w) = 1$.

Key proposition

Proposition 3

Let τ be a lower triangular matrix of integer elements with ones on the main diagonal. Assume

$$\operatorname{Re} \Delta^{e_j \tau}(w) > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

for any $w \in T_\Omega$. Then one has $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$.

From the condition (1), we obtain

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Algorithm for calculating multiplier matrix

$$d_{kj} := \dim \mathcal{V}_{kj} \quad (1 \leq j < k \leq r)$$

$$d_i := {}^t(0, \dots, 0, d_{i+1,i}, \dots, d_{ri}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r-1).$$

For $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, we define $l_i^{(j)} = {}^t(l_{1i}^{(j)}, \dots, l_{ri}^{(j)})$ ($j = i, \dots, r$)

$$l_i^{(i)} := d_i \quad (k = i),$$
$$l_i^{(k+1)} := \begin{cases} l_i^{(j)} - d_k & (l_{ii}^{(j)} > 0), \\ l_i^{(j)} & (l_{ii}^{(j)} = 0) \end{cases} \quad (k > i).$$

Moreover we set $\varepsilon^{[i]} = {}^t(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \dots, \varepsilon_{ri}) \in \{0, 1\}^{r-i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r-1$) by

$$\varepsilon_{ki} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l_{ik}^{(k)} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } l_{ik}^{(k)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (k = i+1, \dots, r).$$

Algorithm for calculating multiplier matrix

Then σ is given as

$$\sigma = \mathcal{E}_{r-1} \mathcal{E}_{r-2} \cdots \mathcal{E}_1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_i := \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{[i]} & I_{n-i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

σ^{-1} is described as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} &= (\mathcal{E}_{r-1} \mathcal{E}_{r-2} \cdots \mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_1^{-1} \mathcal{E}_2^{-1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{r-1}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -\varepsilon_{21} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -\varepsilon_{r1} & -\varepsilon_{r2} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -\varepsilon_{21} & 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ -\varepsilon_{r1} & -\varepsilon_{r2} & \cdots & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $(\varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{31}, \dots, \varepsilon_{r1}) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Let $l_1^{(1)} = {}^t(d_{21}, \dots, d_{r1})$.

By $\varepsilon_{21} = 1$, one has $d_{21} > 0$ and

$$l_1^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{21} \\ d_{31} - d_{32} \\ \vdots \\ d_{r1} - d_{r2} \end{pmatrix}$$

By $\varepsilon_{31} = 0$, one has $d_{31} - d_{32} = 0$.

Similarly $\varepsilon_{k1} = 0$ implies $d_{k1} - d_{k2} = 0$ ($k > 3$).

Sketch of the proof

Repetition of this arguments implies that

$$d_{k1} = d_{k2} = \cdots = d_{k,k-1} \quad (k = 2, \dots, r-1).$$

Similarly by σ_* , we obtain

$$d_{j+1,j} = d_{j+2,j} = \cdots = d_{rj} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r-1).$$

Thus there exists the common number $d = d_{kj} > 0$ ($j < k$).
By the following theorem, Ω needs to be symmetric.

Theorem (Vinberg 1965)

If $\dim \mathcal{V}_{kj} = (\text{const})$, then Ω is a symmetric cone.

Counter example (Ishi–Nomura 2008)

$$V := \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 I_n & a I_n & \mathbf{b} \\ a I_n & x_2 I_n & \mathbf{c} \\ t_{\mathbf{b}} & t_{\mathbf{c}} & x_3 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} x_i, a \in \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right\},$$
$$\Omega := \{x \in V; x \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

$\Delta_1(x), \dots, \Delta_3(x)$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(x) &= x_1, & \Delta_2(x) &= x_1 x_2 - a^2, \\ \Delta_3(x) &= x_1 x_2 x_3 + 2a \langle \mathbf{b} | \mathbf{c} \rangle - x_3 a^2 - x_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 - x_1 \|\mathbf{c}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Ω is not a symmetric cone if $n \geq 2$, but we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta_k(w)}{\Delta_{k-1}(w)} > 0 \quad (w \in \Omega + iV, k = 1, 2, 3).$$

In this case $\dim V_{21} = 1$, $\dim V_{31} = n$, $\dim V_{32} = n$.

Thus we obtain

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ -1 & 1 & \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

- [1] H. Ishi, *On symplectic representations of normal j -algebras and their application to Xu's realizations of Siegel domains*, *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **24** (2006), 588–612.
- [2] H. Ishi and T. Nomura, *Tube domain and an orbit of a complex triangular group*, *Math. Z.*, **259** (2008), 697–711.
- [3] H. Nakashima, *Basic relative invariants of homogeneous cones*, *Journal of Lie Theory* **24** (2014), 1013–1032.
- [4] H. Nakashima, *Characterization of symmetric cones by means of the basic relative invariants*, submitting.
- [5] E. B. Vinberg, *The structure of the group of automorphisms of a homogeneous convex cone*, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **13** (1965), 63–93.
- [6] T. Yamasaki, *Studies on homogeneous cones and the basic relative invariants through skeleton*, Doctoral thesis admitted to Kyushu university (2014)